



IBIT

**GRANTED WITH MODIFICATIONS**EFiled: Apr 08 2024 10:57AM EDT  
Transaction ID: 2024040800002918  
Case No. 2018-0267-NAC

IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN RE GGP, INC. STOCKHOLDER  
LITIGATIONCONSOLIDATED  
C.A. No. 2018-0267-NAC**[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER WITH  
RESPECT TO NOTICE AND SETTLEMENT HEARING**

WHEREAS, a stockholder class action is pending in this Court, entitled *In re GGP, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 2018-0267-NAC (the “Action”);

WHEREAS, a Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise, Settlement, and Release, dated as of March 27, 2024 (the “Stipulation”), has been entered into by and between: (i) Plaintiffs Randy Kosinski, Arthur Susman, and Robert Lowinger (“Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf of the Class; and (ii) Defendants Brookfield Property Partners L.P. (“BPY”), Mary Lou Fiala, Janice R. Fukakusa, John K. Haley, Daniel B. Hurwitz, Christina M. Lofgren, Richard B. Clark, J. Bruce Flatt, Brian W. Kingston, and Sandeep Mathrani (collectively, the “Individual Defendants,” and together with BPY, the “Defendants,” and Defendants and Plaintiffs, the “Parties,” and each a “Party”);

WHEREAS, the Stipulation provides for a settlement, subject to the approval of the Court, among the Parties and for dismissal of the Action with prejudice as

against Defendants upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation (the “Settlement”);

NOW, upon consent of the Parties, after review and consideration of the Stipulation filed with the Court and the Exhibits attached thereto, and after due deliberation,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2024 that:

1. Except for terms defined herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions in the Stipulation for purposes of this Order.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement of the Action, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Class Members (as defined below).

3. In accordance with the proposed class definition in the Stipulation, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action preliminarily shall be maintained as a non-opt-out class action under Delaware Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2), consisting of the following class (the “Class”):

All record and beneficial holders of GGP Inc. (“GGP”) common stock who purchased, acquired, or held such securities at any time during the period between November 11, 2017 and August 28, 2018, inclusive (the “Class Period”), but excluding: (a) Defendants; (b) members of the Immediate Family of any Individual Defendant; (c) any Person who was an officer or director of GGP as of Closing and any members of their Immediate Family; (d) any parent, subsidiary, or entity affiliate of Defendants, as applicable; (e) any entity in which any Defendant or any other excluded person or entity has, or had as of Closing, a controlling

interest; and (f) the legal representatives, heirs, estates, successors, or assigns of any such excluded persons or entities.

4. On March 4, 2020, the Court entered an Order appointing Plaintiffs Kosinski and Susman as lead Plaintiffs in the Action (the “Lead Plaintiffs”), Wolf Popper LLP, Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A., and the Law Office of Frank DiPrima, P.A. as lead counsel for Plaintiffs (“Lead Counsel”), and an executive committee consisting of Lead Counsel and Stull, Stull & Brody and Long Law, LLC (collectively, “Class Counsel”).<sup>1</sup>

5. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court preliminarily finds that: (a) the Persons who are members of the Class (collectively, the “Class Members”) are so numerous that their joinder in the Action would be impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class; (c) the claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class; (d) in connection with the prosecution of the Action and the Settlement, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class; (e) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent adjudications that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants, and, as a practical matter, the disposition of the Action as against Defendants would influence the disposition of any pending or future identical suits,

---

<sup>1</sup> Long Law, LLC was substituted as counsel for Plaintiff Kosinski on March 8, 2021, with Rigrodsky Law, P.A. withdrawing as counsel.

actions, or proceedings brought by other Class Members; and (f) Defendants are alleged to have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

6. A hearing (the “Settlement Hearing”) will be held on \_\_\_\_\_, 2024, at \_\_:\_\_\_.m., either in person at the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, Leonard L. Williams Justice Center, 500 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19801, or remotely by telephone or videoconference (in the discretion of the Court), to, among other things:

a. determine whether to finally certify the Class for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Court of Chancery Rules 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2);

b. determine whether Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have adequately represented the Class, and whether Plaintiffs should be finally appointed as Class representatives for the Class and Class Counsel should be finally appointed as counsel for the Class;

c. determine whether the proposed Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and in the best interests of the Class;

- d. determine whether the Action should be dismissed with prejudice and the Releases provided under the Stipulation should be granted;
- e. determine whether the Order and Final Judgment approving the Settlement should be entered;
- f. determine whether the proposed Plan of Allocation of the Net Settlement Fund is fair and reasonable, and should therefore be approved;
- g. determine whether and in what amount any Fee and Expense Award should be paid out of the Settlement Fund;
- h. hear and rule on any objections to the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or Class Counsel's application for a Fee and Expense Award; and
- i. consider any other matters that may properly be brought before the Court in connection with the Settlement.

7. The Court may adjourn and reconvene the Settlement Hearing, or any adjournment thereof, including the consideration of the application for any Fee and Expense Award, without further notice to Class Members other than oral announcement at the Settlement Hearing or any adjournment thereof, or a notation on the docket in the Action, and the Court retains jurisdiction over the Parties and all Class Members to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

8. The Court may decide to hold the Settlement Hearing by telephone or videoconference without notice to the Class. If the Court orders that the Settlement Hearing be conducted telephonically or by videoconference, that decision will be posted on the Settlement Website. Any Class Member (or his, her, or its counsel) who wishes to appear at the Settlement Hearing should consult the Court's docket and/or the Settlement Website for any change in date, time, or format of the Settlement Hearing.

9. The Court may approve the Settlement at or after the Settlement Hearing, according to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation, as it may be modified by the Parties, without further notice to Class Members. The Court may approve the Plan of Allocation or a modified plan of allocation at or after the Settlement Hearing, without further notice to Class Members. Further, the Court may render its judgment and order the payment of the Fee and Expense Award at or after the Settlement Hearing, with such modifications as may be consented to by the Parties, without further notice of any kind.

10. Class Counsel are authorized to retain a Settlement Administrator to provide notice to the Class and administer the Settlement, including the allocation and distribution of the Settlement Fund.

11. The Court approves, in form and substance, the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Stockholder Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to

Appear attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation (the “Notice”), the Summary Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Stockholder Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to Appear attached as Exhibit C to the Stipulation (the “Summary Notice”), and the Postcard Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Stockholder Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to Appear attached as Exhibit D to the Stipulation (the “Postcard Notice”).

12. The Court finds that the mailing of the Notice or the Postcard Notice and publication of the Summary Notice in substantially the manner set forth in this Order constitutes the best notice reasonably practicable under the circumstances to all persons entitled to such notice of the Settlement Hearing and the proposed Settlement, and meets the requirements of Delaware Court of Chancery Rule 23, the requirements of due process, and all other applicable law and rules.

13. Not later than fifteen (15) business days after the date of execution of the Stipulation, BPY shall provide to the Settlement Administrator or Class Counsel, at no cost to the Settlement Fund, Class Counsel, or the Settlement Administrator, the Securities Transfer Records, in an electronically-searchable form, such as Microsoft Excel.

14. As soon as practicable after the date of entry of this Order, and in no event fewer than sixty (60) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall mail, or cause to be mailed, by first class U.S. mail

or other mail service if mailed outside the U.S., postage prepaid, the Notice, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation, or the Postcard Notice, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit D to the Stipulation, to each Class Member who is a record holder of GGP stock at their last known mailing address, or email address, appearing in the Securities Transfer Records. All record holders of GGP stock who hold such stock on behalf of beneficial owners and who receive the Notice or the Postcard Notice shall be requested to either: (i) within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the Notice or the Postcard Notice, request from the Settlement Administrator sufficient copies of the Postcard Notice to forward to all such beneficial owners, and within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of those Postcard Notices, forward the Postcard Notices to all such beneficial owners; or (ii) within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the Notice or the Postcard Notice, provide a list of the names, addresses, and, if available, email addresses of all such beneficial owners to the Settlement Administrator, in which event the Settlement Administrator shall promptly mail the Postcard Notice to such beneficial owners. Upon full compliance with this Order, such nominees may seek reimbursement of their reasonable expenses actually incurred in complying with this Order by providing the Settlement Administrator with proper documentation supporting the expenses for which reimbursement is sought, not to exceed \$0.05 plus postage at the current pre-sort rate used by the Claims Administrator per mailed Postcard Notice.



15. The Settlement Administrator shall provide further notice to the Class within fourteen (14) calendar days of the entry of this Order by causing the Stipulation and the Notice to be posted on the Settlement Website from which copies of the Notice and the Stipulation may be downloaded.

16. All Notice Costs and Administration Costs shall be paid in accordance with the Stipulation without further order of the Court.

17. Within twenty-five (25) business days of the entry of this Order, Lead Counsel or the Settlement Administrator shall cause the Summary Notice to be published in the *Investor's Business Daily* and over the PR Newswire.

18. Class Counsel or the Settlement Administrator are authorized and directed to prepare any tax returns and any other tax reporting form for or in respect of the Settlement Fund, to pay from the Settlement Fund any Taxes and Tax Expenses owed with respect to the Settlement Fund, and to otherwise perform all obligations with respect to Taxes and Tax Expenses and any reporting or filings in respect thereof without further order of the Court in a manner consistent with the provisions of the Stipulation.

19. At least seven (7) calendar days prior to the date of the Settlement Hearing, Plaintiffs shall file with the Court proof of mailing of the Notice and the Postcard Notice and publication of the Summary Notice.

20. The Settlement Fund that will be held in the Escrow Account shall be deemed and considered to be *in custodia legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as the Settlement Fund is distributed pursuant to the Stipulation, the Plan of Allocation, and/or further order(s) of the Court.

21. Unless the Court orders otherwise, any Class Member may enter an appearance in the Action, at the Class Member's own expense, individually or through counsel of the Class Member's own choice, by filing with the Register in Chancery and delivering a notice of appearance to Lead Counsel and Defendants' Counsel, at the addresses set forth in Paragraph 22 below, such that it is received no later than fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, or as the Court may otherwise direct. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance will be represented by Lead Counsel, and shall be deemed to have waived and forfeited any and all rights the Class Member may otherwise have to appear separately at the Settlement Hearing.

22. Any Class Member may file a written objection to the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or Class Counsel's application for the Fee and Expense Award (an "Objector"), if the Class Member has any cause why the proposed Settlement, Plan of Allocation, and/or the application for the Fee and Expense Award should not be approved; provided, however, that, unless otherwise

directed by the Court for good cause shown, no Objector shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or the application for the Fee and Expense Award unless that person or entity has filed a written objection with the Register in Chancery, Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, Leonard L. Williams Justice Center, 500 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19801, and served (electronically by File & ServeXpress, by hand, by first class U.S. mail, or by express service) copies of the objection upon each of the following counsel at the following mailing addresses such that it is received no later than fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, with copies also emailed to the following counsel:

PRICKETT, JONES &  
ELLIOT, P.A.  
Samuel L. Closic  
Jason W. Rigby  
1310 King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 888-6500  
slclosic@prickett.com  
jwrigby@prickett.com

WOLF POPPER LLP  
Carl L. Stine  
Adam J. Blander

WEIL, GOTSHAL &  
MANGES LLP  
John A. Neuwirth  
Evert J. Christensen, Jr.  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
(212) 310-8000  
john.neuwirth@weil.com  
evert.christensen@weil.com

*Counsel for Defendant Brookfield  
Property Partners L.P.*

845 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 759-4600  
cstine@wolfpopper.com  
ablender@wolfpopper.com

- and -

LAW OFFICE OF FRANK  
DIPRIMA, P.A.  
Frank P. DiPrima  
3 Carriage Hill Drive  
Morristown, New Jersey 07960  
(973) 216-8989  
frank@frankdiprima.com

*Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

POTTER ANDERSON &  
CORROON LLP  
Peter J. Walsh, Jr.  
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor  
1313 North Market Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 984-6000  
pwalsh@potteranderson.com

*Counsel for Defendants Mary Lou Fiala, Janice R. Fukakusa, John K. Haley, Daniel B. Hurwitz, and Christina M. Lofgren*

RICHARDS, LAYTON &  
FINGER, P.A.  
Raymond J. DiCamillo  
920 North King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 651-7700  
dicamillo@rlf.com

*Counsel for Defendant Sandeep Mathrani*

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT &  
TUNNELL LLP  
David J. Teklits  
1201 North Market Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 658-9200  
dteklits@morrisnichols.com

*Counsel for Defendants Richard B. Clark, J. Bruce Flatt, and Brian W. Kingston*

Counsel for the Parties are directed to promptly furnish each other with copies of any and all objections that might come into their possession.

23. Any objections must: (i) identify the case name and civil action number, “*In re GGP, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 2018-0267-NAC”; (ii) state the name, address, and telephone number of the Objector and, if represented by counsel, the name, address, and telephone number of the Objector’s counsel; (iii) be signed by the Objector; (iv) contain a specific, written statement of the objection(s) and the specific reason(s) for the objection(s), including any legal and evidentiary support the Objector wishes to bring to the Court’s attention, and if the Objector has indicated that he, she, or it intends to appear at the Settlement Hearing, the identity of any witnesses the Objector may call to testify and any exhibits the Objector intends to introduce into evidence at the Settlement Hearing; and (v) include documentation sufficient to prove that the Objector is a member of the Class. Documentation establishing that an Objector is a member of the Class must consist of copies of monthly brokerage account statements or an authorized statement from the Objector’s broker containing the transactional and holding information of GGP common stock found in an account statement for the Class Period. Lead Counsel may request that the Objector submit additional information or documentation sufficient to prove that the objector is a Class Member.

24. Any Person who fails to object in the manner described above shall be deemed to have waived the right to object (including any right of appeal) and shall be forever barred from raising any objection in the Action or any other action or

proceeding or otherwise contesting the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the application for the Fee and Expense Award in the Action, or any other proceeding, and will otherwise be bound by the Order and Final Judgment to be entered and the releases to be given. Class Members who do not object need not appear at the Settlement Hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.

25. At least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, Plaintiffs shall file any opening briefs in support of the proposed Settlement and Plan of Allocation, and Class Counsel shall file their application for the Fee and Expense Award, including any supporting affidavit(s). At least seven (7) calendar days prior to the date of the Settlement Hearing, the Parties shall file any reply in response to any objections to the Settlement or the Plan of Allocation, and Class Counsel shall file any reply in response to any objections to their application for the Fee and Expense Award.

26. All proceedings in the Action against Defendants, other than proceedings as may be necessary to carry out the terms and conditions of the Stipulation, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of the Court. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, the Court bars and enjoins Plaintiffs and all other Class Members, and anyone acting or purporting to act on behalf of, in the stead of, or derivatively for, any Class Member, from commencing, pursuing, prosecuting, instigating, maintaining, or in any way

participating in the commencement, pursuit, continuation, or prosecution of any action asserting any of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims against any of Defendants' Released Parties.

27. The Court may, for good cause shown, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order without further notice to the Class.

28. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

---

Vice Chancellor Nathan A. Cook

This document constitutes a ruling of the court and should be treated as such.

**Court:** DE Court of Chancery Civil Action

**Judge:** Nathan A Cook

**File & Serve  
Transaction ID:** 72532324

**Current Date:** Apr 08, 2024

**Case Number:** 2018-0267-NAC

**Case Name:** CONF ORDER CONS w/CA#: 2018-0272-JRS - IN RE GGP, INC. STOCKHOLDER  
LITIGATION

**Court Authorizer:** Nathan A Cook

---

**Court Authorizer**

**Comments:**

A hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") will be held on July 16, 2024, at 1:30 p.m.

**/s/ Judge Nathan A Cook**